

Friends, Enemies and Geopolitics

[TamilNet, Wednesday, 07 September 2011, 08:27 GMT]

National oppression is common to all countries and we cannot expect the dominant Nations of Countries to be our friends. Our strategic long-term natural allies are obviously the oppressed Nations without State and then the oppressed peoples of the World. We have failed to cultivate friendship and solidarity with our Natural Allies while foolishly expecting the oppressors to deliver Liberation to us. We need to give highest priority first for unity among ourselves at least to the level of coordinating action committees for specific objectives and then develop solidarity and friendship with the Nation without State of Tamil Nadu followed by relationship with other Natural Allies, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific.

TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst

“We have no permanent friends and we have no permanent enemies but we have permanent interests”, said Sir Winston Churchill. Whatever Churchill might have had in his mind regarding interest, in today’s fast globalizing world, interest can only mean the self interest of Countries, Nations, non government organizations and various alliances. Also there is nothing permanent, stagnant or eternal since the ‘context’ changes continuously and those not adapting to the changing context cannot survive. So the self interests of all the entities change and so are the friends and enemies. This is the dynamics of Geopolitics.

Though the Eelam National Liberation is at its lowest potential, the time spent in reviewing and analyzing our past in the light of the dynamics of Geopolitics is essential to reformulate our strategy and tactics.

We have been pushed back in both phases of non-violent resistance and armed resistance (armed resistance is simply applying Technology to match that of the Enemy).

During the phase of non-violent resistance, we have been cheated by the different governments of Sri Lanka (GoSL) and subjected to both State Terrorism and Sinhala hooliganism while being ignored by the International Community and hence we were not affected significantly by Geopolitics.

Our economy was developed neither by GoSL nor by us and we were dependent on outside the Homeland. Our people who returned to the Homeland during the communal pogroms on a mass scale had no option but to go back to their previous vocations.

The empowerment of agriculture in the Homeland by the import restrictions of the period 1970 to 1977 provided the spirit of self-reliance and the Vaddukkoaddai Declaration was adopted in 1976. The communal policies coupled with liberalization after 1977 in the background of repeated State Terrorism and Sinhala hooliganism resulted first as armed reaction and then developed as armed resistance.

* * *

It was only after the armed resistance, particularly in the context of the July 1983 pogrom, ‘Mother India,’ an ally of then Soviet Union noticed us, as the GoSL was having friendly relationships with the USA and the West who promoted the liberalization policy.

The armed reaction and armed resistance, which took the form of paying back in the same coin, developed into a National Liberation Movement with international exposure. Though it was groping in the dark by trial and error due to lack of ideological foundation, it was a great success in stalemating Genocide by GoSL between 1983 and 2009.

In spite of the lack of ideological foundation and in spite of being cheated by the Indian Establishment since Thimphu talks but because of the dedicated leadership and sacrifices by the people, the Eelam Liberation Movement grew to the extent of administering major part of the Homeland, which was

formally recognized by the CFA of 2002.

Though it was admired by its people and praised by many international observers as unparalleled in the World and a stronger match to the GoSL, why and how did the Liberation Movement meet its 'disaster' in 2009?

The simple answer is that the International Community cheated the Liberation Movement and that the CFA was a trap. It is common sense that the Liberation Movement is not a match to the combined strength of 30 or more powerful establishments of the World. There was no support to the Liberation Movement outside the Homeland except from the Tamil Diaspora.

* * *

Simple answers will not help in reformulating successful strategy and tactics. Further repeated cheating by 'Friends' implies that something is fundamentally wrong. The fundamental mistakes were not understanding and applying Geopolitics as well as misconception about our friends and enemies.

During the phase of the armed resistance of Eelam Liberation itself, there were three epochs in the Geopolitics of the World.

First it was the Politics of the 'cold war' between the Soviet bloc and the Western bloc which ended with the collapse of the Soviet Union (it was no accident that killing of Rajiv took place almost at the same time) and then it was the short lived Uni Polar (US) world, dominated by the Globalised Capital, between the two Iraq wars and currently the Multi Polar World dominated by globalised Establishments of the club of UN. Terrorism Phobia and collusion of veto powers are symbolic of the current epoch.

These three epochs in the World order did not make any difference in our thinking.

Our understanding of Friends and Enemies also did not reflect the Historical Reality of National Liberation.

* * *

Nations which were historically and naturally evolved communities of peoples during the rising period of Capitalism (with common territory, common language, common culture and common economy / technology) faced uneven development first due to uneven distribution of resources and subsequently due to the stronger Nations (imperialists) oppressing the weaker Nations forcing them to become suppliers of raw materials and consumers of industrial products of the imperialist powers.

Due to imperialistic design, while there are only 193 countries (including the latest addition of South Sudan) in the club of UN, it is estimated that there are about 6000 Nations without State in the World with nearly 2000 of them in the region of India.

While the identities of this large number may not be clear, at least 230 Nations without State have been well defined and well documented (Prof James Minahan).

Obviously the Liberation of the Nations without State demolishes the foundations of the imperialistic exploitation by the former imperialists of the West as well as by countries like India in particular and even other countries which are exploiting smaller number (one in the case of Sri Lanka) of Nations without State.

* * *

National oppression is common to all countries and we cannot expect the dominant Nations of Countries to be our friends. Our strategic long term natural allies are obviously the oppressed Nations without State and then the oppressed peoples of the World. We have failed to cultivate friendship and

solidarity with our Natural Allies while foolishly expecting the oppressors to deliver Liberation to us!

There are internal contradictions of varying extents among the Globalised Establishments and certainly we can play on these contradictions depending on our main enemy at any time provided we are strong and determined as well as having established solidarity with our Natural Allies.

We should also anticipate the development of Geopolitics and establish our relationships to suit that. The Globalised World as a whole is facing both the Environmental crisis and the Economic crisis but the combination of these along with internal contradictions is most likely affect the Region of India in the extreme. The time frame is determined by the cause and effect frame and could be faster than we imagine.

We need to give highest priority first for unity among ourselves at least to the level of coordinating action committees for specific objectives and then develop solidarity and friendship with the Nation without State of Tamil Nadu followed by relationship with other Natural Allies.

While developing the above strategic overall relationships, we should also concentrate on the Reparations to the war victims in the Homeland by the winners local and foreign.

Fortunately the UNSG Panel Report has recommended that and we should give equal if not more importance to Reparation to the victims as to war crimes etc.

Reparations cannot be undertaken without getting rid of the occupation forces and establishing at least a semblance of Normalcy.

Survival of the people in the Homeland is primary for the achievement of the longer-term objectives including the recognition of their Right to Self Determination. We need a wise leadership that will integrate the immediate with the ultimate.

Post War Politics of Sri Lanka

[TamilNet, Thursday, 15 September 2011, 17:13 GMT]

The conclusion of the 18th session of the UNHRC will reveal whether there is anything left to rely on the International Community of Establishments, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific. While the Eezham Tamils are the worst affected by the war, they are also at the receiving end of the post war Sri Lankan Politics which is indeed continuation of the war by other means or to put it bluntly the continuation of the Genocide. We should always hope for the best but be prepared for the worst. That preparedness is the Solidarity we develop with our Natural Allies. The Natural Allies will certainly include those in the Sinhala society rising up against the Family Rule provided they recognize the Right of Self Determination of the Tamil Speaking Nation, a recognition (including the right to secede) adopted by the Ceylon Communist Party in 1944, he further said.

Full text of the article by TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific follows:

“War is but the continuation of Politics by other means”, say the Political Scientists. Since we are discussing “Post War Politics”, it is better to put a spin on that statement and say, “Politics is the continuation of war by other means”. That is exactly what is happening in the island of Sri Lanka.

After winning the war without witness against Eelam Tamils in May 2009, the partners of war, both local and foreign, are now engaged in sharing the spoils of war. The Government of Sri Lanka (GoSL), which was engaged in the Genocide of Tamils from the time (1948) Power was transferred to it by British Imperialism against peaceful resistance of Tamils till it was stalemated by the armed resistance of Eelam Tamils, is now continuing the Genocide from 2009 without any resistance. As for sharing of spoils of war with the foreign partners of war, GoSL has managed to control the spoon so far.

The three brothers share the “agency fees” from the three foreign partners of the war China, West and India. China, though the last foreign partner to the war since 2007, is enjoying the major share of spoils from Day 1. China’s privileged position is probably due to the traditional anti Indian and anti Western mood of the Sinhala society.

Peter Foster, the UK Daily Telegraph correspondent in India till 2008 and in China from 2008 during the critical period of the war wrote on February 9th 2010 that China was strictly neutral in the war till President Rajapakse visited China in 2007, probably due to fear of annoying India.

It is common knowledge that though India was not one of the Co chairs of the CFA of 2002, it was a joint baby of India and the West. Every time Erik Solheim visited Colombo, he made it a point to visit Delhi from 2002 onwards. It is learnt that President Rajapakse visited China in 2007 after it was decided earlier in 2007 in Delhi (with the concurrence of the West, a valid inference based on the European ban of the LTTE) that the LTTE must be defeated at any cost.

So it is more than a speculation to conclude that President Rajapakse carried not only his invitation but also the invitation of India and the West for China to join the war and offered all the possible bribes. India knew by the experience of the IPKF days that West and India couldn’t ensure victory in the war on their own due to the anti Indian and anti Western mood of the Sinhala society.

China must have got thrilled for who gets a bribe to eat the cake which it feared even to approach according to Peter Foster. Of course, it would have been made easier by the fact that we were reluctant even to talk to China for fear of our “Allies”, the West and India.

It is difficult for some to believe as to why the “Whole World” should gang up against the tiny 3 millions of Eezham Tamil Nation unless they consider the interests behind the Powers. As seen, for China it is the much-awaited gateway to the strategic region. For the other two, it is publicized as the killing of Rajeev and Fight against Terrorism. Both the New Delhi and US Establishments know that the LTTE was only a scapegoat in the Rajeev killing and that the Terrorist Phobia is only a Diversion from the Real Issues.

Though many had been fooled to believe in the Diversions, the real reason is that the New Delhi and

US Establishments are partners in opposing National Liberation Movements in general unless they "toe the line". Genuinely independent National Liberation Movements blast the foundations of the "World Order" and hence are not to be tolerated.

The Leadership of the Eezham Liberation Movement was stubbornly independent (whether it is desirable or not is another matter) as evident during the IPKF days and apparently the New Delhi and Western Establishments thought, if allowed to succeed, it will not only send a wave of Liberation among the other Nations without State (numbering 230 well established out of an estimated 6000, 1/3 of whom exist in the Indian Region) but also will send a wave of independence among the economically oppressed Nations with State.

So the barbaric war was let loose on Eezham Tamils by withdrawing all witnesses with the full knowledge and participation of the Powers of the World.

While the Eezham Tamils are the worst affected by the war, they are also at the receiving end of the post war Sri Lankan Politics which is indeed continuation of the war by other means or to put it bluntly the continuation of the Genocide which was stalemated during the earlier times till the last stages of the war.

Whatever that is done in the name of Development including infrastructural developments, is in the interests of the occupation forces and continuation of the Genocide. The occupation forces have let loose the "Grease Devils" to harass and subjugate the already traumatized people. Nobody speaks of Reparation of War victims by the victors though that is one of the major recommendations of the UNSG Panel Report.

Since GoSL (or the Three brothers to be precise) controls the spoon that shares the spoils of war among the foreign partners of war, the foreign partners are not likely to speak for the war victims because they are also party to the crimes and also would not want to annoy GoSL for fear of losing their share of the spoils of war.

Although a latecomer to the partnership in the war, the prevailing mood in the Sinhala society enabled China to receive major share of the spoils of war and China maintains this relationship by declaring its unconditional support to GoSL.

New Delhi and Western Establishments, the longtime war partners are smarting that they had not been given their due shares and shouting empty slogans like reconciliation, human rights, accountability etc probably as bargaining chips to get their due shares.

New Delhi Establishment goes a step further and says that if they push the Tamil cause more, that would push Sri Lanka into the hands of China without realizing that the anti Indian and anti western mood in the Sinhala society will always prefer China.. If the New Delhi and Western Establishments had been aware of this consequence in 2007, probably they would have played a more neutral role. But like individuals, countries are also blinded of the long term by the short term.

Though GoSL will like to confine Politics to Tamil or more specifically to Terrorism (even if imaginary) Politics, the Sinhala society is getting more concerned with the Family Rule. But since the three brothers are personally handling the three war partners (China, West and India) and also personally enjoying the fruits, the three war partners will not be concerned with the Family Rule. After all, they all are used to handling more brutal dictators so long as they are useful.

So it is useless being carried away by the nice words reconciliation, human rights, accountability etc., by the dissatisfied war partners or unconditional support to GoSL by the satisfied war partners but we need to concentrate in developing solidarity with our Natural Allies so that we will be a force to reckon when the war partners are likely to part ways.

Past experience and the TNA Statement of 13th September 2011 to the 18th sessions of UNHRC that started on 12th September 2011 reveal that GoSL cannot be relied upon. Also the conclusion of the 18th session of the UNHRC will reveal whether there is anything left to rely on the International Community of Establishments.

We should always hope for the best but be prepared for the worst. That preparedness is the Solidarity

we develop with our Natural Allies. The Natural Allies will certainly include those in the Sinhala society rising up against the Family Rule provided they recognize the Right of Self Determination of the Tamil Speaking Nation, A Recognition (including the right to secede) adopted by the Ceylon Communist Party in 1944.

'Politics of Deceit'

[TamilNet, Sunday, 25 September 2011, 14:51 GMT]

The TNA needs to publicly clarify its position on the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination and how it is proposing to overcome the Politics of Deceit perpetuated on the Tamils for the last 63 years, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific. As experience teaches us, any agreement can be torn unilaterally. So it is not the details or fine print that matters but that agreement is built on the base of the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination. The politics of deceit is practised universally by the oppressors, in various forms from diversionary politics to pre-emptive solutions, all aimed at blocking political progress of the peoples. What concern Eezham Tamils mostly are the pre-emptive solutions put forward by various interested parties in the prevailing atmosphere of negativism after the disaster of May 2009, he further writes.

TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst

Traditional Marxist view is that Economics determines Politics. But since the collapse of political imperialism after the Second World War, when most countries have gained political independence without economic independence, that ground reality has turned the traditional Marxist view on its head and since then it is politics that determines everything else.

Unfortunately it is the oppressors who have learnt this change in ground reality well and are therefore preventing the oppressed from learning this change while the traditional Marxists are abetting the oppressors by sticking to the traditional view in spite of the changed reality.

The oppressors know that understanding the ground reality is the first step to change it and therefore the pre-emptive option is to prevent that understanding.

Politics is really the resolution of contradictions within and between the various groupings of mankind and unity on common grounds is the best way to resolve the contradictions. That is what collectivism is about.

The progressive and transparent way of resolving the contradictions is highlighting the most antagonistic contradiction and building the unity of the people to resolve it and then move on to the next most antagonistic contradiction.

* * *

It is common knowledge that nations were historically and naturally evolved communities of peoples during the rising of capitalism based on common territory, common Language, common technology (Economics) and common culture.

It is also common knowledge that imperialist powers and imperialism developed as a result of suppressing weaker nations and even denying the nation status to potential and developing ones.

It is estimated that there are about 6000 potential nations and about 230 developing nations (Prof James Minahan) including the Eezham Tamil Nation that have been denied Statehood.

At the end of the Second World War when political imperialism was defeated, the next logical step was to liberate the suppressed Nations.

To prevent this "next step", Politics of Deceit started by the arbitrary drawing of boundaries of countries without any consideration of nations within these countries. Ceylon in 1948 was one such country.

This was a clever move by the former imperialists to transfer the losing political power to the dominant

nations of countries to delay the national liberation of both dominant nations (the local oppressor or the agent imperialist) and the dominated nations (the oppressed).

* * *

The struggles between the oppressor nations (agents of imperialism) and the oppressed nations ensured that agents of imperialism have no time for economic liberation, while the oppressed ones have neither political liberation nor economic liberation. In this climate, the former imperialists are able to continue the economic domination of the world, without resistance.

The economic domination of the world continued virtually without resistance, as the economic power so gained was used to destabilise challenging countries whether from the former “nominally socialist” countries or whether from the former “Non Aligned World.” The collapse of the Soviet Union, the Iraq war, the Afghanistan war and even the current “Arab Spring” are examples of such destabilisation.

On the other hand when agents of imperialism are challenged as in Sri Lanka, the powerful members of the Club of Establishments (the UN) will rush to the aid of the agents of imperialism.

Is it any wonder that more than 30 powerful countries (it is to be noted that the ICG Sri Lanka Report updated on 13 September 2011 classifies India, Japan, USA, UK, EU and UN as Sri Lanka’s International Partners) backed Sri Lanka in its war against the tiny Eezham Tamil Nation?

* * *

The drawing of boundaries of countries without any consideration of the nations involved was the most serious Politics of Deceit intended to block and retard the political, economic and cultural liberation of nations without State as well as the economic and cultural liberation of nations with State.

But Politics of Deceit is prevalent everywhere and all the time when the oppressors bring into focus imaginary contradictions or some irrelevant and minor contradictions in order to distract and divert attention from contradictions that are crying for solution, so that History and Civilization cannot move forward.

Violent oppression by States is never called State Terrorism but armed resistance by the oppressed (which is simply adopting a technology to match the technology of the oppressor) is very conveniently called ‘terrorism’.

The 30+ Establishments that armed Sri Lanka and banned the LTTE, labeled the LTTE as ‘terrorists’ but not the Sri Lankan Government. The ‘terrorism’ campaign was simply to distract and divert attention from resolving the national question in Sri Lanka. So is, after 9/11. The ‘terrorist’ phobia a Political Deceit in the international arena is aimed to divert attention from the problems faced by the peoples of the world.

The Politics of Deceit is practiced universally by the oppressors in various forms from diversionary politics to pre-emptive solutions including divisive politics, consensus politics and subtle forms of collusion politics, all aimed at blocking political progress of the peoples.

What concern Eezham Tamils mostly are the pre-emptive solutions put forward by various interested parties in the prevailing atmosphere of negativism after the disaster of May 2009.

* * *

The ‘pre-emptive solutions’ intended to displace any equitable solution range from 13th Amendment plus demerger of North-East Province minus land rights and police rights proposed by the GOSL to stabilise the ground Reality to undefined “Devolutions of Power” which can be thrown out of the Window as happened to Article 29 of the Soulbury Constitution in 1972.

It is to be noted that President Chandrika Kumaratunga's mother, Prime Minister Srimavo Bandaranayaka, enacted the 1972 constitution by forming a "Constituent Assembly" without 2/3 majority, but Chandika wanted 2/3 majority to implement her proposals and dropped them after a murmur by Ranil Wickramasinghe.

All those who are promoting the current GOSL/TNA talks should remember the above, in addition to remembering the Politics of Deceit by the GOSL (Banda-Chelva Pact, Dudley-Chelva Pact), the Politics of Deceit by the Indian Government after Thimpu Talks, and the Politics of Deceit by the West (co-chairs) during the CFA.

As the 63 years of Deceit teaches us, any agreement can be torn unilaterally.

So it is not the details or fine print that matters but that agreement is built on the base of the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination.

While an elected MP from the TNA has publicly stated that Tamil people elected TNA for obtaining Recognition of their Right of Self Determination, the nominated MP of TNA has demanded the identities of the people who cautioned TNA not to give up on fundamental issues while talking with the GOSL. The nominated MP, however, did not express the TNA's position on the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination.

The TNA needs to publicly clarify its position with regards to the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination and how it is proposing to overcome the the Politics of Deceit perpetuated on the Tamils for the last 63 years.

Politics of Human Rights

[TamilNet, Friday, 30 September 2011, 08:49 GMT]

“Is it not time for us to realize that these partners in collusion are making us to chase a mirage that is getting further and further away,” asks TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in Asia Pacific, citing Human Rights Council in Geneva giving further time for Rajapaksa to stabilize the genocide. Stressing on the importance of collectivism in ideology to face the situation, the analyst said the lacuna in current human rights activism is that it harps only on individual human rights and not on collective human rights such as the right to self-determination of nations. Individualism carefully promoted by the Establishments leads to inaction, frustration and negativism. The present HR activists hooked to the paradigm should be reminded of the limitations of their activism resulting in negativism capturing the minds of all oppressed peoples, he further writes.

The UNHRC in Geneva has ended without discussing the Human Rights violations in Sri Lanka leaving in tact its May 2009 support of Human Right Violations in Sri Lanka in spite of the UNSG Panel Report recommending that the UNHCR should review its May 2009 decision.

We are being told by our ‘friends’ that the subject will be discussed in the March 2012 sessions of the UNHRC with the usual proviso of subject to Sri Lanka not doing anything on its own before then. Thus the GOSL has been given another 6 months to complete the Genocide.

The ICG predicted this in its September 13th Report, a day after the UNHRC sessions started (obviously the Report has been written before then) and Ban’s ‘friendly’ contribution for this gift of time to Sri Lanka is by delaying the transmission of the UNSG Panel Report to the UNHRC till the end of the 1st day’s session of the UNHRC.

At least we must give “credit” to the ICG for its honesty of stating in its September 13th Report that “India, USA, EU and UN are the International Partners of Sri Lanka”.

Sri Lanka for its part stated that the LLRC would consider the UNSG Panel Report and the collusion partners in chorus sing that they should give a chance to the LLRC Report.

Before the next sessions of the UNHRC in March 2012, Sri Lanka may say that the PSC (Parliamentary Select Committee) must Review the LLRC Report and the game goes on.

Is it not time for us to realize that these partners in collusion are making us to chase a mirage that is getting further and further away? Are we not being fooled into this chase while GOSL is continuing with the Genocide regardless without any resistance? We should realize that hoodwinking us to chase this ever-moving mirage is to give us the impression that there is still something left to rely on the International Community of Establishments.

* * *

The Politics of Deceit continues but the forms may vary. ‘Human Rights’ are beautiful words and it is music to the weak and oppressed and hence you need to steel yourself to discuss the Politics of Human Rights at least till you realize that Music, though it may have the healing effect of Medicine, is not Food.

First point is that there is no standard definition or understanding of Human Rights.

Mahinda was a Human Rights Activist in the 1980s when the Sinhala youth of the JVP were under fire. Then he went to Geneva against the then Sri Lankan Government without bothering about neither the sovereignty of the country nor the interference in internal affairs.

Dr. Vikremabahu Karunaratne said that Mahinda took him to Australia to canvass against the violations of Human Rights by the then GOSL. Now when it comes to Tamils he is the worst

perpetrator of HR violations killing over 150,000 in 6 months.

Ms. Tamara Kunanayagam's speech to the UNHRC in 1987 and 2011 follow the example of Mahinda.

Mahinda's International partners (identified by the ICG) shed tears from the mountaintop against Human Right Violations (insignificant compared to that in Sri Lanka by any standards) in Tibet, Zimbabwe, Libya and the like while Mahinda himself criticizes lesser (than in Sri Lanka) Human Right Violations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

* * *

Thus for the International Community of Establishments, the meaning of Human Rights has to be interpreted depending on who the oppressors and who the victims are. You can always get the previews from ICG Reports.

Human Rights Watch (HRW), Amnesty International (AI) and similar NGOs have relatively more consistent standards with respect to different oppressors and victims, but all Human Right Violations are related to Individual Rights.

Further they restrict the Individual Rights also to a closed group of rights like freedom of thought, freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, freedom of the press and the like (which freedoms are valuable) but they are rarely concerned with more fundamental freedoms like freedom from unemployment, freedom from hunger as the search for such freedoms lead to collective action.

More importantly collective Human Rights like formation of trade unions or formation of anti Establishment Political Parties or even groups are outside the purview of Human Rights Activists of all sorts.

What Eelam Tamils and other Nations without State should realize is that all the champions of human rights never take the most fundamental collective Human Right of Self Determination of Nations into consideration.

If a deeper analysis of all Human Rights Activists, groups and campaigns is carried out, we will find out that Human Rights have been hijacked away from collective Human Rights (including Right of Self Determination of Nations) and hijacked away from Individual Rights that will lead to Collective Action or in short away from Collective Action.

* * *

If the transition from animal to human in evolution is analysed, it will be seen that the cardinal features distinguishing human from animal are: Language, Technology and Collectivism.

While Language and Technology depend on Collectivism, humans cannot produce anything without Collective Action. In fact it was the need for (the physically weak) humans to survive in a hostile jungle environment that promoted Collective Action and collective settlements away from nomadic life.

Thus Collectivism is the most fundamental Human Right of the Individual and Right of Self Determination of Nations is the most fundamental Collective Human Rights.

It is foolish to expect the present model of Human Rights Activism to deliver Liberation to us. Human Rights Activism as it exists promote the ideology of Individualism which is against Collectivism and hence against progress in civilization and in evolution.

The Individualism carefully promoted by the Establishments results in lack of Collectivism and hence leads to inaction, frustration and Negativism.

The Human Rights Activists should be reminded about the limitations of their Activism, pointing out the worst consequence of resulting Negativism, which has captured the minds presently of all oppressed

peoples.

The Negativism is a consequence of not seeing change in spite of all from Obama downwards crying 'change' and 'yes we can'.

* * *

Change is not possible without collectivism based on unity at least for coordinated action on specific issues.

The call for unity is often treated as rhetoric, as people can clearly see it as only an attempt to eliminate rival leadership. Can all Diaspora Tamils unite on the specific issue of Reparation to War Victims by the War Victors (both local and foreign)?

Another specific issue will be the implementation of the UNSG Report. The question of the Right of Self Determination could be the next stage.

Even if changes at ground level may not materialize quickly, such campaigns will expose the 'International Community' in addition to exposing Mahinda but at the same time educate the international public, more importantly our Natural Allies.

The way forward demands a fundamental change in ideology from Individualism to Collectivism. This Individualism in social science is no different an enemy than Isolationism in the natural sciences or Egoism in spiritualism.

Politics of Imperialism

[TamilNet, Monday, 10 October 2011, 00:50 GMT]

Politics of Imperialism had ensured that Nations without State do not know one another and confined to borders controlled by dominant Nations (Agent Imperialists) with State. Thus 1/3rd of the population of the World is reduced as Stateless peoples without controlling any resources including their own Labour, often ending as refugees in strange lands. A sense of helplessness and powerlessness pervades their minds and they are made to depend on charity by well wishers. Their minds are brainwashed not to think of hurting their well wishers even to the extent of betraying their natural allies. The Politics of Imperialism takes extra care to ensure that natural allies never get together, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific.

Full text of the article by TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific follows:

Politics is resolving contradictions within and between the many groupings of Mankind.

The contradictions between Imperialism and Peoples or to be more precise, the contradictions between the Imperialist Nations and the oppressed Nations is the most dominant and antagonistic contradictions of our times.

The Imperialist Nations are not confined to former historical Imperialist Nations but include all the Agent Imperialist Nations like the Sinhala Nation of Sri Lanka, the dominant Nations in India, Pakistan, China etc.

The resolution of such contradictions is the Politics of Imperialism and the resolutions of all other contradictions (including working class struggles) are subordinate Politics to the Politics of Imperialism.

The dictionary definition of Imperialism is acquiring of dependent territories or extending a country's influence through trade and diplomacy. It is to be noted that after the victory of war in May 2009, Sri Lanka is now in the process of acquiring dependent Territory in the Tamil Homeland.

However different interpretations of Imperialism, Countries and Nations are in vogue and stripped of their context, these interpretations cause confusion and divert the attention of the peoples to irrelevant or subordinate contradictions.

Nations are defined as natural and historic evolution of communities in the rising period of Capitalism with common Territory, common Language, common Technology (Economics) and common Culture.

Rising period of Capitalism is to be understood as pre imperialist stage of Capitalism and at that stage, the Country was the same as Nation State.

When Imperialism arose in the scene as a result of acquisition of dependent Territories, The Country is no longer synonymous with Nation State but comprised of an oppressive Imperialistic Nation State and oppressed Nation(s).

Sovereignty and Self determination are said to reside in the Peoples and since Nations being the Natural and historical evolution of communities of Peoples, Sovereignty and Self Determination should be characteristics of Nations and Patriotism is same as Nationalism ONLY in that context. The words Nationality and Citizenship were synonymous only in the pre imperialistic stage when Nation State was synonymous with the Country.

The Politics of Imperialism laid the foundations for the Ideology of Confusion by equating Nation state with the Country, equating Nationalism with Patriotism, equating Nationality with Citizenship even after dependent Territories were established in the period of Imperialism and performed a subtle transfer of Sovereignty and Self Determination to the Country first in the conceptual plane.

Further Imperialism itself was confined to acquisition of dependent Territories thereby hiding the important characteristics of Imperialism, namely, Economical Imperialism arising from Trade and Political Imperialism arising from Diplomacy.

When Lenin called Imperialism as the highest stage of Capitalism, he probably referred to the Economic Imperialism and since at that stage Marxism was founded on Economics determining Politics, Political Imperialism was probably ignored.

With the conclusion of the Second World War, when holding on to dependent Territories was no longer practicable and since the cost of Military Occupation of dependent Territories was prohibitive, the Politics of Imperialism underwent a fundamental change from Politics independent of Man's will to Conscious Politics.

The dependent Territories were given "independence" with boundaries of Countries drawn without giving any consideration to the boundaries of Nations, combining Nations (Ceylon, India etc) and dividing Nations (Arabs, Kurds etc) all with the idea of potential time bombs to sabotage the completion of National Liberation.

Political Imperialism was transferred to the dominant Nations (agent imperialists) of Countries like the Sinhala Nation in Ceylon or to the dominant Sheikdoms (again agent imperialists) in the Arab Nation.

The essential Economic Imperialism (Trade) was retained by the former Imperialist Powers. To date Economic Imperialism is in their hands though "democratized" in the name of World Trade Organization (WTO).

Thus Economic Power is taken out of the UNO (United Nations Organization or the Club of Agent Imperialists).

Man's Conscious choices not only created the Economic Crises (WTO) but also the Environmental Crisis in Climate Change issues (WEO for World Environmental Organization) and these are serious challenges for the Politics of Imperialism. Even while facing these common challenges to Mankind, Imperialist Powers do not want to relax on their antagonism to National Liberation Movements as revealed in their joint crushing of the Eezham Tamil Liberation Movement.

The completion of National Liberation is a prerequisite for the Establishment of Socialism and hence denial or even delay of National Liberation is a pre-emptive strike against Socialism guaranteeing the preservation of Capitalism.

What the Eezham Tamils, in particular the Diaspora, should realize is that National Liberation is functionally and fundamentally anti-Imperialistic in character and we cannot therefore expect any Imperialist Power or Agent Imperialist Power to deliver us Liberation.

Just as the anticipated Socialism in the Soviet Union and China ended up as State capitalism, the anticipated decay of Imperialism after the Political independence of many countries after the Second World War turned out to be a consolidated Transformation of Imperialism.

Though the acquired Territories were handed back, Political Imperialism was shared with the dominant Nations (Agent Imperialists) of all countries including the nominally socialist ones while the Economic Imperialism was retained by the former Imperialists making it a more efficient arrangement of exploitation of Men and Nature, later transforming as "Globalised Capital" which finally won the cold war in favour of the former Imperialists.

Since Globalised Capital did not have enough Political muscle, the less Economically efficient Establishments of the World representing the dominant Nations (Agent Imperialists) of the countries, thus establishing a unified mechanism against the oppressed Nations.

Thus while the oppressor Nations with State are more readily united (like more than 30 Governments backing GoSL), the oppressed Nations have to go it alone like the Eezham Tamil Nation which lost in spite of very strong internal strength because the Nations without State have not established international Solidarity among themselves.

Politics of Imperialism had ensured that Nations without State do not know one another and confined to borders controlled by dominant Nations (Agent Imperialists) with State. Thus 1/3rd the population of the World is reduced as Stateless peoples without controlling any resources including their own

Labour, often ending as refugees in strange lands.

A sense of helplessness and powerlessness pervades Stateless peoples' minds and they are made to depend on charity by well wishers. Their minds are brainwashed not to think of hurting their well wishers even to the extent of betraying their natural allies.

The Politics of Imperialism takes extra care to ensure that natural allies never get together.

For example when 20 groups banded together to protest against Common Wealth Heads of Governments (CHOGM) meeting in Perth, the police has gone round advising all the groups to organize their individual protests and that they will have police protection for that.

It is unfortunate that even some Eezham Tamils think them as “fringe groups” though one of them is the Greens who called for the suspension of Sri Lanka from the Commonwealth. Such is the power of the Politics of Imperialism which through the indoctrination of “two party democracy” prevents the emergence of a third force for fear that such a force is more likely to be anti Establishment.

It is common knowledge that in all two party democracies both parties are pro Establishment and the prevention of the emergence of a third force is the best pre-emptive to preserve the Establishment. One could find many pre-emptives to prevent a Third Force in all the two party democracies. It is to be noted that only Third Forces like the NDP of Canada that recognize representatives from Nations without State.

The only way to break this Politics of Imperialism is to develop solidarity among all the Nations without State and also educating the populations (including support for developing Third Forces) in the Nations with State that their Salvation also depends on completing the National Liberation in the World.

Politics of the Way Ahead

[TamilNet, Thursday, 03 November 2011, 20:22 GMT]

The contradictions between the Establishments and Peoples are likely to be the most antagonistic in the Indian subcontinent and hence that is the region for the next major change in the World. Our alliance with Tamil Nadu is of utmost priority in this regard. It appears that India used the Vanni War without witness as a 'Field Trial' for its developing wars against its own Nations without State. In due course, the Indian Establishment will become the main enemy of the region and at that time coalition of Establishments supporting the Indian Establishment will be quite different to the coalition that supported genocidal Sri Lanka. With this in perspective, we should keep our eyes open to new opportunities including progressive Sinhala forces that recognize the Right of Self Determination of the Nation of Eezham Tamils, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific.

Some main excerpts from the article:

Perspectives of the Future are a trend towards even Development resisted by the Globalised Establishments.

The objective of Self-determination of Nations is to transfer Political Power to all Nations and is compatible with the trend towards even Development and therefore naturally will be opposed by the Globalised Establishments (which currently consist of Historical Imperialists and Agent Imperialists) as they are constituted now.

The probability that the TNA will be more cooperative with the Globalised Establishments after the trip to USA is high. It is only the pressure of the Ground Reality that can reduce that probability just as the Ground reality in Tamil Nadu can restore sense in Jayalalithaa.

Clinton went to meet Jayalalithaa to nip in the bud the Solidarity developing between Eelam Tamils and Tamil Nadu Tamils.

The good news is that in spite of extreme nature of oppression, resistance is developing in the Homeland by students and the civil society.

The Diaspora can and should engage the host governments and even other governments but must realize that neither persuasion nor "applying pressure" will work unless we have sufficient back up forces and the back up forces can come only from our Natural Allies.

While the strategic approach of moving with the Flow is clear, it is equally important to work out the tactics of getting out of the present 'low point' and move again.

The tactics of the Homeland struggles will be determined by the Enemy actions and will obviously have to match the Technology of the Enemy.

The 30+ Countries that supported the war against Tamils and continuing to support GOSL in its occupation of the Tamil Homeland can be treated as enemies.

Although GOSL had been our main Enemy ever since historical British Imperialists devolved Political Imperialism to the Agent Imperialist GOSL, the Government of India (GOI) took over the role of the Main enemy during the IPKF Period.

Again from 2007, when Mahinda (at the behest of India and hence the West) invited China to join the coalition of Establishments in the war against Tamils, India has become the virtual Main Enemy.

Just as our enemies adopt 'Divide and Rule', we also should learn to isolate the Main Enemy and engage in a coalition against him.

The tactics to be adopted by each party will be determined by the Ground reality the party faces and therefore should be left to the party concerned.

We need to bear in mind to eliminate or at least minimize the inequities among us so that we can move united towards common objectives.

Moving united means to understand our role (as individuals and groups) towards achieving the objectives and acting as effectively as possible and discuss with others for improvements.

* * *

Full text of the article by TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific:

Understanding the Politics of History and the Geopolitics is for the purpose of anticipating the Politics of the Way Ahead. Such anticipation should help us to see the Perspectives of the Future and how our Objectives fit into those Perspectives. In that light it will be more appropriate to discuss Strategy and Tactics.

The end of the Second World War also represented a turning point in History when Man's influence over Nature exceeded the influence of Nature on Man and this is no different to a child attaining adulthood.

The turning point, hence, represented a new era when Politics determined everything else, a reversal from Economics or mode of production being the determinant of Social Change to Politics becoming the determinant of Social Change. Political changes, to be meaningful, must lead to Technological Development capable of facilitating Social Change.

The historical Imperialists understood, more than the anti Imperialists, this changeover from History developing independent of Man's will to Conscious development of History.

Thus the historical Imperialists knew to play the cards well that they succeeded in a consolidated transformation of Imperialism (first to Globalised Capital and then to the present Globalised Establishments) while the anti Imperialists sang the same old song of "Imperialism decaying and building Socialism".

* * *

While the costly territorial Imperialism was dismantled and Political Imperialism was devolved among Agent Imperialists, the Economic Imperialism was retained by the historical Imperialists so that they could win the "Cold War" and even established a Uni Polar World for a short period (between the two Iraq Wars) by transforming Economic Imperialism into Globalised Capital.

Since Development was uneven from the beginning till the Turning Point in History after the Second World War, it follows that after the Turning Point, Development should be even and that was why the Uni Polar World was unstable and had to give way to the Multi Polar World.

Since the uneven Nature of the Globalised Capital was not compatible with the even Development of the Multi Polar World, the Globalised Establishments came into being as a further transformation of Imperialism from Globalised Capital so that Globalised Establishments could have a check on further even Development.

So the Perspectives of the Future are a trend towards even Development resisted by the Globalised Establishments. Even Development implies a world without (antagonistic) differences and hence has to be built on Equitability between groups and within groups.

* * *

The objective of Self determination of Nations is to transfer Political Power to all Nations and is compatible with the trend towards even Development and therefore naturally will be opposed by the

Globalised Establishments (which currently consist of Historical Imperialists and Agent Imperialists) as they are constituted now.

The Nations without State and the oppressed peoples in the Nations with State on the one hand and the Globalised Establishments on the other hand (representing historical Imperialist Nations and Agent Imperialist Nations) are strategic Adversaries.

All Nations without State and the oppressed peoples in the Nations with State are Natural Allies. Likewise all the Establishments of the historical Imperialist Nations and the Establishments of the Agent Imperialist Nations are also Natural allies.

The Globalised Establishments are fully conscious of the Strategic Adversary Relationship and who their Natural Allies are whereas the Nations without State and the oppressed peoples in the Nations with State are ignorant of the strategic adversary relationship and who their Natural Allies are and in addition have prejudices of their own.

It is to be noted that the Globalised Establishments constitute only 1% of the World Population but managed to contain the 99% (Nations without State and oppressed peoples) by the Politics of Deceit. When the 99% wakes up, the paradigm will be "Occupy Earth."

* * *

The strategy for Eelam National Liberation should be considered in tune with the Liberation of all Nations without State, in tune with the desire of all oppressed peoples in Nations with State for a more Equitable (Socialist) Society and above all in tune with the trend towards even Development.

In other words we should FLOW with the River of History, Civilization and Evolution and achieve our National Liberation.

If we resist the Flow of History, we will be washed away and if we stay aloof, we will be ignored.

Although our desire for National Liberation was just by any standards, we lost in the Peaceful Resistance phase because others ignored us when the local adversary used State Terrorism against us.

In the Armed Resistance Phase, we lost in spite of being relatively stronger than the local adversary because the local adversary mobilized the full strength of all his Natural Allies whereas we were isolated from our Natural Allies added to the fact that we did not have a "friend" in the Globalised Establishments and therefore had to cope with an unfavourable external environment.

* * *

Some in our ranks thought and still continue to think that our strategic Adversaries will deliver us Liberation though it is possible to make use of contradictions among the strategic adversaries for which we must have resources to attract some of the strategic adversaries.

Alternatively, we together with some of our Natural Allies should develop as a strong Geopolitical Force with significant Market Potential.

Tagging to any or a group of the Globalised Establishment would have made sense during the cold war era or even during the era of Globalised Capital but not in the present phase of Globalised Establishments which are trying to act in unison out of desperation to preserve the status quo.

We study the past, not to find or allocate faults, but to plan the Future.

No doubt we are at a "Low Point" and there is lot of Negativism including inaction associated with that. It is to be noted that while "Right Action" is always desirable, even wrong action is better than inaction because wrong action gives us an opportunity to correct ourselves.

* * *

While the strategic approach of moving with the Flow is clear, it is equally important to work out the tactics of getting out of the present “low point” and move again.

We need to bear in mind to eliminate or at least minimize the inequities among us so that we can move united towards common objectives.

Moving united means to understand our role (as individuals and groups) towards achieving the objectives and acting as effectively as possible and discuss with others for improvements.

* * *

Due to historical circumstances, we have a larger Diaspora compared to Homeland population in relation to other National Liberation Movements but that cannot change the relative roles.

It is a mistaken belief particularly among the Diaspora that the Diaspora should lead the Homeland Tamils and some even think we should form “Governments”.

A Liberation Movement, like a tree, needs the seed, the territory and the environment to grow.

The seed and the territory are still there in the Homeland though GOSL is trying hard to deprive us of the territory and even the seed by capturing our territory and by proceeding with the Genocide and these moves have to be at least arrested.

The Occupation Forces are very large, nearly one for every 13 civilians. This is very larger than any known in Historical Imperialism.

The Establishments numbering more than 30 that joined the GOSL in the war are continuing with the financial assistance to maintain the Occupation instead of reparation or compensation to war victims.

Further the Occupation forces and the southern traders with the help of their foreign friends are strangulating the Homeland Economy by taking over even small businesses in addition to agricultural and fisheries opportunities.

Added to that, Indian trawler fishing is encouraged by the SL Navy and the Government of India at the expense of ordinary fishermen of Eelam with the idea of causing antagonism between the ordinary fishermen of Tamil Nadu and Eelam.

* * *

The good news is that in spite of extreme nature of oppression, resistance is developing in the Homeland by students and the civil society.

What is more, they have not forgotten the lessons of the past and demanding Political Solution as the priority.

However the Globalised Establishments are trying their utmost to prevent this resistance developing to mass resistance and possibly armed resistance due to the brutality of the occupation forces and also trying their utmost in preventing the solidarity of the closest Natural Ally of Tamilnadu Peoples.

It is to be noted that the TNA resumed talking to GOSL just after Blake met Sampanthan and Jayalalithaa has shifted her position even on the hanging of the three in the alleged Rajiv assassination case after the meeting with Clinton.

The probability that the TNA will be more cooperative with the Globalised Establishments after the trip to USA is high. It is only the pressure of the Ground Reality that can reduce that probability just as the Ground reality in Tamilnadu can restore sense in Jayalalithaa.

* * *

The Diaspora Leadership on the other hand is somewhat immune from the pressure of the Ground Reality at least because of the physical separation from the Homeland while being subject to the pressures of the host governments.

The Diaspora can and should engage the host governments and even other governments but must realize that neither persuasion nor “applying pressure” will work unless we have sufficient back up forces and the back up forces can come only from our Natural Allies.

If we abandon our Natural allies for fear of the Globalised establishments then we are doomed. Without breaking any laws we can develop the Solidarity with our Natural Allies and that Solidarity will help influencing the Globalised Establishments because the 1% knows its dependence on the 99%.

Even while developing solidarity with Natural Allies, we should take the effective path. There is always a Golden Rule to follow when in doubt. Do the opposite of what the Enemy is doing or what the enemy wants us to do.

Remember how quickly Clinton went to meet Jayalalitha to nip in the bud the Solidarity developing between Eelam Tamils and Tamilnadu Tamils.

We also should note that the President of the GTF, Father Emanuel, though he had a valid entry visa, was not allowed to land in Chennai. So developing that Solidarity should be our first priority.

* * *

For further understanding of the Geopolitics, we should remember that LTTE was first banned in the USA during the Clinton Administration, long before the 9/11 and therefore the ban had more to do with preventing National Liberation than fighting international terrorism.

Even the EU banned the LTTE long after the 9/11 but when LTTE was negotiating with the GOSL under the patronage of the co chairs and everyone knows who the co chairs were.

While the strategic objective of the Homeland, the Diaspora and the Natural Allies is the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination of the Eelam Tamil Speaking Nation, the tactics to be adopted by each party will be determined by the Ground reality the party faces and therefore should be left to the party concerned.

The tactics of the Homeland struggles will be determined by the Enemy actions and will obviously have to match the Technology of the Enemy.

What the Diaspora can and should do is to assist by creating a favourable international Political Environment and the obvious partnership is with the Natural Allies and the Priority is the Solidarity with the Tamils of Tamilnadu.

The campaign for the Implementation of the UNSG Report including the appointment of an independent international investigation, the campaign against war crimes and the crimes against Humanity are all Gateways for the creation of this Political Environment leading to the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination of the Eelam Tamil Speaking Nation.

This Political Environment will determine everything else including the necessary pressure on appropriate members of the Globalised Establishments.

* * *

The other major aspect of understanding Geopolitics and Politics of History is to anticipate the future Geopolitical Developments in the light of Flow of History and align us with that Flow.

The Global Economic Crisis and the Environmental Crisis are dictating an imminent New World Order for the survival of Life itself on the Planet.

The contradictions in this regard between the Establishments and Peoples are the most antagonistic in the Region of the Indian subcontinent and hence that is the Region for the next major change in the World.

It will be the Liberation of a third of the Nations without State in the World.

Even from this perspective, our alliance and Solidarity with the Tamil Nation of Tamil Nadu will be beneficial. This should be our tactical Geopolitical approach within the Perspectives of Solidarity with other Nations without State and the oppressed peoples in the Nations with State.

* * *

Understanding of Geopolitics should also include who our enemies are in the Globalised Establishments and who our main enemy is.

The 30+ Countries that supported the war against Tamils and continuing to support GOSL in its occupation of the Tamil Homeland can be treated as enemies.

Although GOSL had been our main Enemy ever since historical British Imperialists devolved Political Imperialism to the Agent Imperialist GOSL, the Government of India (GOI) took over the role of the Main enemy during the IPKF Period.

Again GOSL took over that Role after the withdrawal of the IPKF but since the Cease Fire Agreement (CFA) of 2002, the Role of the Main Enemy was in a fluid state among GOSL, Co Chairs and India, with GOSL nominally in that Role.

However it is reasonable to conclude that from 2007, when Mahinda (at the behest of India and hence the West) invited China to join the coalition of Establishments in the war against Tamils, India has become the virtual Main Enemy.

It is worth recalling, according to Devasahayam of Chennai that during 2006/2007 when Mahinda was getting tuned for a Political Solution, it was Delhi that played the Spoiler Role.

It appears that the India used the Vanni War without witness as a "Field Trial" for its developing wars against its own Nations without State.

In due course, the Indian Establishment will become the Main Enemy of the Region and at that time coalition of Establishments supporting the Indian Establishment will be quite different to the coalition that supported the GOSL.

With this in perspective, we should keep our eyes open to new opportunities including progressive Sinhala forces that recognise the Right of Self Determination of Eelam Tamil Speaking Nation.

Just as our Enemies adopt "Divide and Rule", we also should learn to Isolate the Main Enemy and engage in a coalition against him.

The Future is full of hope plus opportunities and there is nothing to despair.

Building consensus with universal ideology

[TamilNet, Tuesday, 20 December 2011, 06:02 GMT]

The struggle of Eezham Tamils has to be waged with the strength of unity and consensus in ideology; both in the immediate task of dealing with a three-cornered international struggle in a multipolar world as well as in the long-term universal task of pursuing the Right of Self Determination for nearly a third of the world that is currently denied of it and in the world-wide struggles of the 99 per cent of the peoples in this planet seeking a more equitable world against the one per cent that constitutes today's globalized Establishments, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific. The days of alignment with any power are gone in the multipolar world set-up, where the alignments are shifting every now and then though the underlying element among the Globalised Establishments is Cooperation via UN & its agencies, he further writes.

“It is foolishness to expect any power to deliver liberation to us though we can make the benefit out of 'cooperation, competition and conflict among the multipolar powers' (present as well as absent for the time being), provided we are a recognisable force.

“The only way to become a recognisable force is building of Tamil Unity or Tamil Consensus (particularly with people of Tamil Nadu) against the Genocide of Tamils in the island of Sri Lanka.

“It affects all the Tamils in the World as well as many other peoples without State, for if it succeeds in Sri Lanka, the model will spread to other parts of the World.

“Our immediate task is building the consensus in ideology with a universal outlook, and building a leadership to spearhead the struggle, independent from the hijacking forces,” the Analyst observes.

* * *

The Economic and Geopolitical Analyst continues elucidating on the current global context and on the need to build a universal consensus in ideology:

Why did Eelam liberation movement face the disastrous setback in May 2009? Why are the oppressed peoples who constitute the 99% being deceived by the 1% of globalised Establishments? Why justice seems to be so far away? Can justice be achieved in isolation?

The lack of clear answers to these and similar questions has led to confusion, lack of confidence and inaction or ineffective action not only among Eezham Tamils but also among the spectrum of all oppressed Nations without State and the oppressed peoples in Nations with State.

Therefore to move forward, the answers to the above questions have to be found. The Feature article “Politics of the Way Ahead” discussed the context for finding answers to these questions.

The strategic battle line is between the Globalised Establishments on the one side with Nations without State and the oppressed peoples on the other side. The Globalised Establishments are united under the banner of the UN and have developed a high level of consensus for oppression and against National Liberation. In any war of aggression or oppression (as in the war against Eezham Tamils) there are 30-40 countries in a coalition against the victim who is isolated.

The consensus among the Globalised Establishments is focused very much through the UN as evident from the fact that a Veto has been used only rarely in the recent past, though opportunistic powers portrayed a threat of Veto by others to silence the victims.

During the Eelam War, the “Friendly Powers” of the West always used the threat of a possible Veto by China and/or Russia as an excuse for non-interference, non-condemnation of even war crimes or non-

condemnation of “removal of witnesses from the war zone”.

They just organized a sham meeting in the Basement of the UN as if they wanted the GOSL to complete the operations without any obstructions. They did not even table a proposal because of the “fear of Veto” though the use of Veto by China & Russia recently in the case of Syria has prevented the West from neither openly supporting the “Rebels” against Syria nor proposing a resolution against Syria in the Security Council.

The Veto that was used 239 times by the permanent members of the UN Security Council from the inception of the UN till 1990 (5 to 6 times a year) has since been rarely used, about once a year on the average. This shows the extent of developing consensus among the Globalised Establishments through the UN. Ban ki Moon is managing the UN and its organizations in tune with this developing consensus, representing the 1%.

* * *

The Consensus of the Globalised Establishments is further reflected in the coalitions of 30 to 40 countries conducting the recent wars of aggression/oppression whether in Iraq, Afghanistan or Eelam.

The Coalitions of countries and even the conduct of wars change with specific cases. Unlike in the other wars, in the case of the war against Eezham Tamils, the Coalition had representatives from all multi polar Powers (West, India, China, Russia) and GOSL & India were allowed to commit all manner of war crimes and remove all possible witnesses.

It is to be noted that the unusual extent of War Crimes and absence of Witnesses are specific to the War against Eezham Tamils.

Consensus Building was developed not only among the enemy coalition of Establishments but also among the Establishment parties in the “two party democracies”.

Thus, in Sri Lanka, both UNP and UPFA have developed a consensus on how to deal with the Eelam National question. They both speak the same language with only cosmetic differences.

Still many including “Tamil Marxist intellectuals” accuse the LTTE for not having chosen between Ranil & Mahinda in the Presidential Elections of 2005 without even realizing that had the LTTE supported Ranil, Mahinda would have won by a landslide majority just as he did when Sampanthan supported Sarath Fonseka.

Such is the anti Tamil chauvinism among the vast majority of Sinhalese whether due to ignorance, prejudice or Mahavansa mindset.

The same is true in other “two Party democracies” on all substantive issues be it Australia, New Zealand, UK, USA or Canada.

Recently when the question of boat people/ Refugees came to the forefront, both the Establishment Parties in Australia took the same position. So is the history of the Establishment Parties in all the two party Democracies.

* * *

The approach of the oppressors is clear. Strategic Real Unity of all oppressive forces (1%) and tactical apparent separation to deceive, divide, isolate and rule the oppressed 99%.

In “two Party Democracies”, the two Parties have developed Consensus on essential issues which are seldom advertised but also cultivate Cosmetic Differences which are frequently advertised to deceive, divide, isolate and rule the oppressed. The differences are magnified during “Election Time” but ignored between elections enabling crossovers.

In the case of Globalised Establishments, the differences in interests are relatively more real but former

Imperialists who retained control over the World Economy and Trade and the Agent Imperialists of various countries who enjoy devolved Political Imperialism in oppressing Nations without State within their borders have more interests in common.

Their common interests include opposition to National Liberation, which they conveniently label it as Terrorism.

It is to be noted that at present there are only 193 Nations with State admitted to the UN club (former Imperialists and Agent Imperialists) oppressing about 6000 Nations without State of which 230 including Eelam are well organized.

The Liberation of all Nations without State (referred to as the 4th World) or the completion of National Liberation is a must to rid of Imperialism (oppression of one Nation by another Nation) and to establish a New Equitable World Order (Socialist Society).

Naturally the former Imperialists and Agent Imperialists will not permit the progress of National Liberation as that undermines the Imperialist nature of the existing World Order. So long as even a single Nation is under oppression by another Nation, it cannot be said that Imperialism has been eliminated and that a New World Order could be established.

It is a pity that some Diaspora Tamils believe that former Imperialists or Agent Imperialists will deliver Liberation to us. It is more ridiculous that some who claim to be struggling for Socialism are opposed to National Liberation.

It is true that over time countries or UN members have increased (Now at 193) because that was conducive to the stability of the existing Imperialist World Order by opening more resources to be exploited.

None of the Establishments including South Africa has promoted National Liberation and even South Sudan (in spite of all the noises) has fallen in line.

* * *

It is clear why Eelam Liberation was so ruthlessly destroyed by 30-40 Establishments – in fact more ruthlessly than even Taliban.

It was possible because we were isolated not only physically and materially but also even ideologically, and hence we lacked the solidarity by other Nations without State and by the oppressed peoples in general.

We had only support from the Eelam Tamil Diaspora whereas successful liberation movements like Vietnam and South Africa had worldwide international solidarity.

Examples of success without international Solidarity like Kosovo, South Sudan etc can be found but they were backed by powerful of the Globalised Establishments based on resource utilization or political consideration.

We lack resources to sell ourselves and our attempts to politically sell ourselves to the West and India have miserably failed as they took us for granted and ignored us.

In reality, politically selling ourselves could have been possible during the cold war Bi Polar World or even during the Uni Polar World of Globalised Capital. Those Eras are bygone now and in the present era of Globalised Establishments of developing Multi polar World with shifting alignments, politically selling ourselves is not possible, apart from it being undesirable.

The only option left to us is favourable International Solidarity on which the Diaspora should concentrate (without pretending to lead the Homeland Tamils) so that any struggle by the Homeland Tamils could bear fruit.

It is not a question of how long it will take but the only way to go, knowing that History moves not

according to any timetable but according to the cause and effect frame.

It is quite likely that the Environmental Crisis and the World Economic Crisis will precipitate a New World Order much sooner than we anticipate. We need to align ourselves correctly to be on the right side.

Even those who argue that the Homeland Tamils need concessions to live so that we can struggle, should note that such concessions are possible or even our persuasions convincing, lobbying, applying pressure etc are possible, only if our solidarity base is strong.

* * *

It is to be also noted that present day World depends on the mercy of consumers and from that point of view also the number in solidarity with us is of great significance.

International Solidarity is the only way whether in the long run or whether in the short run but requires dedication and hard work.

We should learn from the Enemy. See how Imperialism which was considered dead after the Second World War, understanding the new phase of conscious development of History, consolidated itself by transformation to Globalised Capital and then transformation to Globalised Establishments.

The Enemy realized the importance of Consensus Building first in his own ranks, then among allies and finally among friends and now they are able to isolate and defeat the forces of genuine National Liberation which is indeed a pre-emptive move against a New World Order.

Therefore, our urgent task is Consensus Building and naturally the objective of consensus building will differ depending on the collective population concerned. The objectives, however, need to be acceptable, realistic, compatible and equitable to be successful.

Suggested objectives include action against genocide for Tamil solidarity, action for Right of Self Determination of Nations for Solidarity of Nations without State, actions for a New World Order for Solidarity with the oppressed peoples (say the 99% movement), actions for Harmony with the Environment for Solidarity with the Environmentalists like the Greens, actions for Harmony with the Evolution in the new phase of Conscious Development for Solidarity with the Spiritual minded.

All the objectives suggested above are compatible and mutually reinforcing one another. In a fast Globalising World, fight against oppression anywhere helps the oppressed everywhere.

So it makes sense for Diaspora Tamils to join the fight against the Establishments wherever they live.

If the Establishments are engaged with their local population, then they will have limited time and resources to encourage the others of the Globalised Establishments.

This unified approach will make our actions effective and also helps Consensus Building among the oppressed peoples.

* * *

The Consensus Building among the peoples (99%) is far more difficult than the Consensus Building among the Enemy of Globalised Establishments (1%), but that is the only way forward.

It is, however, to be considered that the Enemy is aware of the Historical phase of “conscious development of History after the end of Second World War” and practices that while preventing peoples from learning that by way of promoting ignorance, prejudices, divisions etc.

It is also to be noted that the 99% has to get over all the hurdles and road blocks by the 1% apart from getting over the traitors and more importantly the negative consciousness among those in the 99% aspiring to be part of the 1%.

Just as we should strive and work hard to build consensus among allies and friends, we should equally be on guard against traitors and those developing enemy (1%) aspirations.

The good news is that we do not have to develop Consensus Building among the 99% to the same level as that of 1% as nature has shown that when a critical point (dew point, critical mass, adulthood etc) is reached, it is Prairie Fire after that.

Conscious, effective hard work is required till the critical point is reached. Justice appears far away till the critical point is reached but becomes imminent when the critical point is reached.

All (of the 99%) cannot work on all the objectives. While feeling that we are part of the 99% to have clear perspective of the overall objectives, we should concentrate on objectives where we can contribute effectively.

International action against genocide of Eezham Tamils and for the recognition of the Right of Self Determination of Nations will certainly give hope to the Tamils struggling for survival in the Homeland more effectively than say sending money which will only help the Foreign Exchange of GOSL.

We certainly should remind that it is the responsibility of victors of the war (foreign and local) to pay reparations to the victims of war.

* * *

International Consensus Building (among the 99%) around actions against genocide is much easier and that is precisely the reason why our “friends in West and India” want us to avoid that term.

Some even among Eezham Tamils feel that the word should be reserved only for the Jews!

We should always look at the opposite of what the Enemy says.

Even in the recent Panel discussion of the Asia Society in New York (6th December 2011), The International Crisis Group (ICG) representative advised the Diaspora not to accuse the GOSL of Genocide as that would rule out the possibility of the “Current Reconciliation”.

No doubt the ICG as usual reflects the view of the “International Community” that surrender is the path of the oppressed people for Reconciliation.

There was no Panel member to represent the views of the Tamil Community though moderated by a Tamil but there was one to represent the Sinhala Community and two to represent the “International Community”.

Unfortunately the Diaspora present also did not want to even ask a question on the Right of Self Determination, probably following the footsteps of TNA.

This is clear enough for the oppressed Tamils of Eelam to concentrate on the Recognition of the Right of Self Determination and on the struggle against the genocide going on since 1948 stalemated by the LTTE between 1983 and 2009.

The struggle against genocide is one that should encompass the consensus of all Tamils in the World since if GOSL completes the genocide in Sri Lanka; other Tamils in the world will not be spared.

It is a danger confronting more than 100 million Tamils and the campaign should be carried among all of them and that is where World Tamil Unity or Consensus Building among World Tamils comes into play.

Equally it is far easier for international consensus building around Recognition of the Right of Self Determination of Nations – a collective Human Right of Nations than around separation or Eelam, though the Right of Self Determination enables the Homeland Tamils to go for Eelam as a separate country.

Further, the recognition of the Right of Self Determination is the common demand of all Nations without State and hence has the constituency of 1/3rd of the population of the world.

Thus it is possible for all members of the Diaspora to campaign for the recognition of the Right of Self Determination in the wider world achieving greater consensus or unity and once it is recognized can go for separation if necessary.

But the struggle has to be watchful of those who hijack the meaning of the phrase, Right of Self Determination.

* * *

It is time to demolish the monopoly of Consensus Building among the 1% by promoting the Consensus Building among the 99%.

The oppressed peoples in Nations with State, desire an Equitable World Order (Socialist Society) but they should realize that Equitable World Order is not possible so long as Imperialism exists.

Imperialism is one Nation oppressing another Nation and Imperialism can be eliminated only when the National Liberation is completed or as a minimum the remaining 1/3rd population of the World are in a position to exercise the Right of Self Determination.

This allows the Unity or Consensus to be built on the largest scale.

Achieving Consensus or Unity on Environment and Evolution is the next stage but imminent in view of the impending Economic and Environmental Crises.

Though many cry for Unity, they do not realize that Unity is meaningless without an objective and can never be achieved on emotional grounds.

Unity is really building consensus and it could be done only within the constituency to which the objective applies.

Thus we should campaign for unity or consensus against genocide among World Tamils, campaign for unity or consensus for the recognition of the Right of Self Determination among all Nations without State, campaign for equitability in dealing with the economic crisis among all oppressed peoples and campaign for harmony with the environment and evolution among all peoples.

No doubt the Highest Priority is for the campaign against genocide for survival and for the Right of Self determination for the political aspirations both of which are interdependent.

‘Champions of Change’

[TamilNet, Tuesday, 03 January 2012, 15:02 GMT]

Even as the nation of the Scots enjoys limited autonomy in the United Kingdom, its desire for independence coupled with other political and economic developments compels the leader of the Scottish National Party to optimistically assert that Scotland and other similar small independent nation-states will be the champions of change in the near future. On the other hand, as the efficiently functioning de facto state of the Eezham Tamils was crushed by Sri Lanka with support of world powers in May 2009, there is a need to soar above mere war crimes calls and other similar discourses, which only blunt the aims of our political goals. The year 2012 must involve reinvigorated efforts to assert sovereignty of the Eezham Tamils and to set the moral victory of our national liberation struggle as a standard to natural allies, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific.

Full text of the article by TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific, follows:

The beginning of a year always flows with hope. In Tamil we have the saying that there will be a way when January (Thai) is born. Hope is infectious and we feel happy and ourselves become more hopeful when we see others, particularly of our kind, overflow with hope.

It is in this spirit that we appreciate the article titled “How Scotland will Lead the World in 2012” written by Alex Salmond, first minister of Scotland and leader of the Scottish National Party. Although his concepts, including his conceptualization of nations and his idea of globalization as an emancipatory force, lack the clarity of social analysis, we admire his confidence for the Scottish Nation to Lead the World in 2012 and his conviction that “It is not the size of a nation that is important, but the size of its ambition and of the contribution that it can make to the world.”

The optimism of another Nation without State like us should inspire us to have greater hope and motivate us to get out of the lethargy of silence and inaction into which we have dumped ourselves since the Mu'llivaaykkaal genocide of May 2009, particularly because our achievements as a Nation without State are far greater than those of the Scottish nation.

We had established and managed a de facto state against a brutal enemy supported by powerful global establishments, and despite being labelled a ‘non-state actor’ by many in the West, we exercised a moral high ground in principle and in practice that our enemies and their friends consistently failed to reciprocate.

The political and ideological comparisons that we make with other struggles must be purely for the purpose of further advancement of our struggle and our natural allies of Nations without State and the oppressed peoples in the Nations with State.

We along with our natural allies are on one side of the fence and the 193 global establishments with state power are on the other side of the fence. We should never forget this fundamental strategic division in the world order in dealing with our natural allies as well as dealing with our common opponents in the global establishments of former imperialists and agent imperialists on the other side of the fence.

It is because we as well as our natural allies do not understand and apply this strategic division, our common enemy is able to divide us and defeat us. Because some of us have not learnt this, the very enemies who facilitated our genocide are making use of naive elements within our polity to create illusory battles like war crimes, human rights etc.

The global establishments are divided into gangs like the two parties in the “democracies” and camouflage themselves by their apparent cosmetic differences while safeguarding their common interests whether in war or in peace.

They trapped us in the peace trap of the CFA to organize Sri Lanka’s military victory and to deprive us of our hard earned fruits of a politically consistent armed struggle. Those who have closely followed the LTTE documents of the 2006 talks that were released recently would understand how the CFA was

used by the powers strategically to arm the Sri Lankan government, despite it deliberately violating numerous of the agreements, and to 'disarm' the LTTE, despite their eagerness in practice to conform to most of the clauses.

It can be bluntly said that this 'war through peace' was the political prelude to the 'war through annihilation' that happened in Mu'l'livaikkaal genocide.

These very same powers are now engaged in crafting another peace and reconciliation trap to disarm Eezham Tamils of their political consciousness. The agents of these powers and failed peace-brokers will also seek to influence the Tamil polity towards a non-descript and 'home-grown' solution, without even allowing Eezham Tamils to seek the path of applying 'remedial sovereignty'.

Attempting to divert the focus of the masses in Tamil Nadu and the Tamil diaspora, the powers also want Tamils to believe that 'Gandhian means' would work locally in the island, conveniently forgetting what response the Sinhala state gave to the satyagraha protests of the 50's, 60's and early 70's.

Likewise, the UNHRC drama will continue for few more years till they politically disarm us and allow completion of the structural genocide of our nation by the Sinhala state by permanently mutilating our homeland as well as our will to liberate it. That is why groups like the ICG on behalf of the global establishments do not want us to speak of genocide, though Eezham Tamils are daily experiencing the genocide as a structural process as reports from all the districts of North and East clearly reveal.

We need also to tell the oppressed peoples in the Nations with State that the global establishments 'defeated' us as a pre-emptive move to prevent the New World Order we are fighting for from emerging. Even many of the socialists and leftists do not understand this though it was clearly stated 50 years ago by the then Chinese leaders that socialism cannot be built without completing the national liberation.

This is not a magical slogan but rather a simple fact that socialism cannot be built while imperialism exists and imperialism will exist even if one nation is oppressed by another nation. This is the reason why the 'military defeat' of the Eezham Tamil nation's sole representatives, who were creating a new model of nation-state and society, was hailed by reactionaries world over, while genuine progressives in South Asia and elsewhere mourned it.

Beyond being just a loss for the Eezham Tamils, the powers engineered solution that was dealt to the LTTE was an immense loss to the struggling peoples of the world. This is an opinion that was also shared by the CCOMPOSA in their 5th conference held in March 2011.

Some who do not understand the cause and effect nature of events and think in terms of arbitrary time frames may even argue that changing the world order may not happen in "our life time"; but crude reality states that the world order has to change sooner than we can imagine because of the impending world economic crisis and the environmental crisis.

These crises indicate that the world, and especially nations that do not have political representation in the established global order through their own states, are crying for change but conceptual and political leadership are lacking. If we work in this direction, providing such a leadership for Nations without States and the oppressed in the Nation states through our rich experience, surely 2012 and beyond will be hopeful for Eezham Tamils and our natural allies.

Let those who have been bearing and who still want to bear the cross of 'war crimes enquiry' and those who want to operate within the established 'Human Rights' paradigm set by the Establishments and the NGO outfits carry on the burden of the cross. But, Eezham Tamils as a nation should realise the most important and strategic significance of taking the lead role of forming the conceptual and political leadership necessary for the powerful emergence of Tamil geopolitics.

Taking the spirit of the 'Tamil Sovereignty Cognition' that was released on Heroes Day 2011, let the year 2012 mark a beginning of a political trend that will have sovereignty for the Eezham Tamil nation as the primary focus of our discourse.

We need to be enlightened from the lessons we have learnt by these traps in war as well as in peace and we need to take this message not only among ourselves but also among our natural allies.

Unless we fully grasp the concept and importance of Tamil sovereignty and the leading role played by the Tigers during the CFA where they literally earned sovereignty, we cannot fulfil our historical task with due efficiency.

We are also at a juncture where the rogue states in global establishments are projecting our predicament in Mu'l'livaikkaal as the threat of a final solution to national liberation struggles.

Before the 'Sri Lankan solution' is established as a negative example, we need to work to project our experiences as a nation that ran a successful de facto state in face of overwhelming odds and our resilience to carry our struggle up to Mu'l'livaikkaal and beyond as a positive example of the moral victory of our national cause.

This is the leadership role we have to play among the Nations without State by teaching the lessons we have learnt through our practical struggle and our experience combined with the optimism of the leader of the Scottish National Party that "independent small nations will be champions of change".

Post-UNHRC needs show of Fourth World solidarity

[TamilNet, Friday, 30 March 2012, 06:03 GMT]

Pointing out that the UNHRC resolution is not the First Step to liberation but a Closing Step conceived by Globalized Establishments to conclude the process of Tamil subjugation started by the 2002 CFA, mere exposure of the collusion games of the Establishments is not enough, but action is needed rather than the euphoric diaspora chasing mirages, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific. Being in the Fourth World of nations without state, Tamils paid the price for not building solidarity with fellow peoples of the world. We paid the highest price and should take the initiative otherwise even our future struggle will be organized only by our enemy in a reactionary way. Our alliance with Tamil Nadu is of great importance. As Globalized Establishments cannot survive without consumption and consumers, they will certainly respect the strength of numbers.

TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst

The much hyped US resolution in the UNHRC has been just passed in the recently concluded 19th sessions amidst euphoria that it is the first step to Tamil Eelam among the Diaspora who campaigned for the passage just as the CFA was welcomed by the Homeland Tamils in February 2002.

In 2002, it was the first exposure of the Eezham Tamil nation to geopolitics and till then our “God Fathers” had been either India or the West. Since we had burnt our fingers with India after the IPKF episode, the Co-chairs threw the net of the CFA. We all know the price paid in May 2009 and we are yet to recover.

In the three years after the disaster of May 2009, many of us have invested in the understanding of Geopolitics as well as in the understanding of the context in History but apparently the similarities between the CFA and the just adopted US resolution have been missed.

In short, the CFA was used to crush the LTTE and the present US resolution is designed to disarm the Tamil diaspora. This will be clearly seen when viewed in the geopolitical and historical context as well as in relation to the relevance and relationship to National Liberation.

* * *

In the recently concluded 19th sessions of UNHRC, USA and India played a “Collusion Game” to hide the real issues of sharing the spoils of war among the main foreign partners of the War- India, USA and China.

The US resolution was proclaimed as anti Sri Lankan but initially the US wanted to move it jointly with Sri Lanka and invited Sri Lanka to participate at the draft stage.

Though the Foreign Minister GL Peiris saw the advantages of “sealing the issues with minimum sacrifice by the Victors of the War”, Mahinda saw the usefulness of opposing the US Resolution as anti West and anti Indian Rhetoric will help him in diffusing the rising local protests.

While Mahinda is still continuing with anti Indian and anti Western Rhetoric and sections of the Tamil Diaspora are still in the euphoria of the first step, “pragmatic measures in sharing of the spoils of war” are being discussed by US and Sri Lankan teams including IMF, World Bank etc.

It is unfortunate if oppressed peoples cannot see these collusive (for and against) cries of human rights to hide the real issues of sharing the spoils of war.

* * *

In order to understand “where do we go from here”, we need to draw the correct conclusions from the history as well as the current status of geopolitics described above.

From a scientific outlook of social and spiritual point of view, completion of National Liberation is the next critical stage evolution.

As the liberation theologians and many spiritualists say, the ultimate liberation is dependent on social and economic liberation in this World.

As many socialists say, socialism is not possible without the completion of the National Liberation.

The oppression of one nation by another nation is imperialism and so long as imperialism exists, a more equitable world or socialism is not possible.

Thus completion of National Liberation is very important for progress and change.

The pro status quo, Globalised Establishments have realized this more than the oppressed peoples and are all out to block National Liberation as a preemptive move to maintain the Status quo and they are uniting more and more for this purpose.

It is to be noted free market of the first world and socialism of the second world are mere Rhetoric of the past and all Globalised Establishments are moving towards State Capitalism and that in turn encourages the structural Unity of the Globalise Establishments.

Thus we have well uniting Oppressor of Globalised Establishments (1%) on the one side and the divided oppressed peoples and Nations without state (99%) on the other side.

Where we should go from here is obvious. Unity of the oppressed Peoples and oppressed Nations without state for a more equitable world is the target. The Occupy Movement and its call for 99% against 1% is the light house of the times.

* * *

While pessimists have silenced themselves and joined the oppressed who have been silenced by the enemy (simply by making them to work without spare time to make ends meet), one could hear the voices of “pragmatists” who say that the Light House is light years away and that the suffering people, particularly in the Eelam Homeland, need immediate relief.

One cannot see any effective relief being given to the Homeland Tamils except by their kith and kin abroad.

In any case nobody is asked to stop the relief that is being provided for whatever the reason and however effective that may be. What needs to be understood is that such relief is only like the immediate pain killer but neither a long term nor even short term solution.

This is again like the “lobbying of parliamentarians and officials of Globalised Establishments particularly from the West” by certain Diaspora groups.

These groups even claim with euphoria that their lobbying contributed to the passage of the UNHRC resolution. However there was no such euphoria in the Homeland and it was short lived even in India as pointed out by India Today on 28.03.12 as follows:

“The euphoria was short-lived. The hopes generated after India's vote at Geneva for holding Colombo accountable for war crimes, have now evaporated.

With Prime Minister Manmohan Singh bending over backwards to placate our tiny southern neighbour, there is nothing much to cheer about.”

Also Malaysia has clarified that its abstaining was to give more time to Sri Lanka as if the time given till the 22nd sessions of the UNHRC (with the hands of the UN High Commissioner tied by the Indian amendment) is not enough.

Further more the Sri Lankan Island paper of 30th March 2012 Reported online the following:

“A very different kind of powwow: Soon after Geneva episode, US here for trade and investment talks, deepen 150 year relationship.”

* * *

Do all these not show that the US Resolution in the UNHRC was a “closing step” and not a “first step” for the foreign partners of war to share the spoils of War and the local partner to continue with the genocide in “peace”?

Some “pragmatists” may even say : “Wait till the 22nd sessions of the UNHRC”.

We need real structural changes” and not “regime changes” as in Iraq, Afghanistan, Tunisia, Egypt, Libya and the like.

Neither silence nor inaction will bring about real structural change.

Many in the Homeland, in the Tamil Nadu and in the Diaspora had similar views even long before the passage of the US resolution as continuously reported in TamilNet with news items from Homeland as practical evidence and these certainly helped to expose the “Globalised Establishments”.

But exposing the Globalised Establishments is not enough.

* * *

We need action to develop solidarity among the 99%. We failed to build up this solidarity base during our 60+ years of struggle and paid the price for it.

We in the diaspora now have an opportunity for developing such a solidarity base and we should concentrate on that knowing that, being far away, we cannot influence organized resistance in the homeland.

The form and nature of organized resistance will be determined by the enemy not even by the people in the Homeland except as a reaction to enemy action, matching his technology.

We need to act fast so that the international environment will be ready to support the Homeland struggles as and when they occur.

Already efforts have begun in few countries like Canada, UK, France, and Australia in this direction for the development of solidarity base.

It is difficult as it is new not only to Eezham Tamils but even to other nations without state. But there is no other way. Since we have paid the highest price, we are in a position to invite other nations without state.

When the other oppressed peoples (including those among the Sinhalese) realize that a more equitable world cannot be built without completing the National Liberation, they will also certainly join us in solidarity.

We should understand that there are 6000 Nations without State in the world representing 1/3rd the population of the world and in any major city in the world, you can find representatives of more than 200 Nations.

It is also important to remember that 1/3rd the nations without state (in the world) are in India. This

and not Rajiv was the main reason for the vengeance with which India moved against the National Liberation of Eezham Tamils.

For the same reason, India is likely to be the next “boiling point” in the world. So our alliance with the Tamils of Tamil Nadu is of great importance as they along with other Tamils of the world will be our partners in stopping the genocide of Eezham Tamils and our strongest partners in National Liberation.

Let us move in this direction and in that process we will also get the strength to make use of the contradictions among the Globalised Establishments.

Since the Globalised Establishments cannot survive without consumption and consumers, they will certainly respect the strength of Numbers.

* * *

The analyst further elucidates on the historical background of Globalized Establishments operating against the Fourth World:

Nations are historically and naturally evolved communities with common territory, common language, common technology (economy) and common culture in the rising period of capitalism, i.e. in the period after the industrial revolution.

Capitalism developed into imperialism when powerful nations conquered weaker nations for obtaining cheap raw materials and ensuring captive markets for manufactured goods.

When imperialistic countries shared the whole world for exploitation, it was also the beginning of the first phase of globalization and the beginnings of geopolitics on a global scale. The first two world wars were about redistribution of colonies and the beginning of cracking of imperialism giving birth to National Liberation.

However imperialist countries marked the borders of independent countries artificially for political considerations after the end of the World War II without any consideration to the natural and historical boundaries of Nations and devolved political imperialism to the dominant Nations of the countries who became agent imperialist. Eg the Sinhalese nation in Sri Lanka is an agent imperialist.

To date, this drawing of artificial boundaries of Countries, remains the most successful preemptive against National Liberation because even the dominant nations who won their Liberation through protracted armed struggle are drunk with their “agent imperialist political power” and are turning their back to the completion of National Liberation.

* * *

Geopolitics that developed as a “Cold War between imperialist west and socialist east” after the World War II and the establishment of the United Nations while the countries that got political independence (in reality it was devolution of political imperialism to make these countries as “agent imperialists” by the former imperialist countries who retained economic imperialism) grouped themselves as “Non Aligned Countries” also referred to as the Third World to distinguish from the First World of former imperialists and the Second World of the “socialist countries” that in reality promoted not socialism but State Capitalism.

So the “Cold War” was in reality an attempt to resolve the developing contradictions in capitalism developed to a new form of imperialism of trade as opposed to the physical conquest of earlier times.

While the First World talks of free trade and the Second World give preference to bilateral or even barter trade, the terms of trade are always manipulated and if a country gets better terms than in the World market (which again is neither free nor fair), then it has to be compensated in political terms.

There are nearly 6000 nations in the world but only 193 countries in the UN meaning that there are 193 dominant nations who are either former imperialists or agent imperialists since they are oppressing

some nations without state, who are collectively called the Fourth World. Eezham Tamil nation is a member of the Fourth World.

* * *

The Cold War came to an end with the collapse of the Soviet Union and for a short time (between the two Iraq Wars); we had Unipolar World instead of the Bi Polar World of the Cold War days.

The Unipolar world also symbolized the transformation of imperialism to Globalised Capital. The unipolar world is unstable and hence since 9/11 an artificial pole of 'terrorism' was conceived and terrorist phobia was used as a cover for continuing the oppression of the peoples of the world.

The evolution of a multipolar world necessitated the need for a coalition of 30 to 40 countries whether it is in the Afghanistan war, Iraq war or war against Eezham nation to cite a few recent examples.

30 to 40 Countries uniting in a coalition of aggression is new phase in the development of imperialism, a transformation from "Globalised Capital" to "Globalised Establishments".

The first step in their strategy is to "isolate the target" and the convenient method in the age of terrorist phobia is to "call the dog terrorist and then shoot it".

The terrorist phobia is no different to the "communist phobia" in those days when there was no communism or not even socialism but only State Capitalism.

It is to be noted that even the First World is now entering to a stage of State Capitalism by "bailing out" big capital with the resources of States instead of bailing out the suffering poor. It should also be noted that terrorism and terrorist phobia invented by the First World are becoming "catch words" even in the Second and Third Worlds.

Thus geopolitics of the Globalised Establishments is entering into a stage of greater unity of the oppressor (1%) while the oppressed (99%) are divided and isolated.

* * *

Of course, there are contradictions, real and collusive, among the Globalised Establishments. In the process of resolving the real contradictions, the Establishments enter into "collusion games" to cheat and disarm the oppressed.

The UN Security Council Meeting in the basement of the UN during the peak of war against Eezham Tamil nation was a "collusive meeting" to give GoSL a freehand in the war as all Globalised Establishments have common interest in preserving the existing world order or the status quo.

Contrary to popular belief, China which was previously neutral was invited to join the War by Mahinda at the behest of both India and the US. US and India knew that they alone couldn't motivate the Sinhala population for a successful conclusion of the war.

It was not difficult for China to join as it has for long given up Mao's Proletarian Internationalism and was concentrating on State to State relations to obtain a better share of the resources of nature.

The court of international public opinion

[TamilNet, Tuesday, 24 April 2012, 21:59 GMT]

During the last stages of the war, the Tamil diaspora did organize demonstrations in a historic way, but they were confined to Tamils and did not have the support from the rest of the international public as was the case with anti Vietnam-war protests or even in the case of recent anti Iraq-war protests, writes TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific, stressing on the importance of mobilizing solidarity and public opinion of the Fourth World, compared to lobbying within the Establishments and filing futile court cases. As the May 17 movement has recently stated, the ICG representatives had spent 5 hours on him asking him not to raise the issues of genocide, war crimes and independence, but could not succeed, whereas certain international forces could convince our nominated politicians over a cup of coffee, he writes on the dependability of grassroots.

Full text of the article by TamilNet Economic and Geopolitical Analyst in the Asia Pacific:

Understanding Geopolitics and History is for the purpose of planning the move forward. Having seen that globalised Establishments destroyed the LTTE through the CFA and are in the process of disarming the diaspora by the UNHRC resolution, we know what to expect from them at least in the near future. Still we cannot effectively move forward unless we define our role in that future movement.

The just concluded visit of Indian parliamentary delegation has demonstrated that collusion game for sharing of spoils of war continues along with the genocide of Tamils. Also Tamil Nadu has demonstrated what public opinion can achieve.

The ideology of Eelam liberation remains the same and common to the homeland Tamils and the diaspora though homeland Tamils earlier gave leadership and in the present difficult circumstances, diaspora may take that role of ideological leadership at least temporarily. However, being removed from the ground reality, the diaspora cannot play any leading role in organisation of resistance.

Though the homeland Tamils are somewhat subdued, it is history that oppressor never gives up oppression voluntarily and it is law of nature that resistance will develop in due course just like friction developing whenever there is motion.

Naturally the homeland Tamils will play the leading role, and they will also be in a better position to decide on the form of resistance to match the technology adopted by the enemy. The diaspora can only assist in whatever way possible.

* * *

The diaspora's role is not diminished in anyway if we realize that the 60+ years of our struggle (both peaceful resistance and armed resistance) were crushed because of the lack of external support.

During the last stages of the war, diaspora did organize historic demonstrations, rallies etc. but they were confined to Tamils and did not have the support from the rest of the international public as was the case with anti Vietnam-war protests or even in the case of recent anti Iraq-war protests.

Since the end of the war, diaspora made many appeals to key western and Indian governments and also lobbied parliamentarians (both from the government side and opposition side) of the same governments.

Some in the diaspora even compromised on principles, refusing to call what is happening in the island of Sri Lanka as genocide. Some even repeated, parrot like, the IC/ICG position that both LTTE and GOSL are to be blamed, without even pointing out the very serious differences between the two.

The net result is the UNHRC Resolution, which is being celebrated as a 'first step' by some but exposed by others for what it is.

The other route taken by the diaspora is "court cases", which are being undermined by the Western governments under various pretexts.

The SL commanders who committed the worst war crimes with impunity are exempted from trial due to immunity or allowed to escape back to the land of crimes where they can continue with further crimes with impunity.

It is the lawyers who gain the money of the diaspora by way of appearing for cases and appeals. It is difficult to find a court case where the political victims have been ordered reparations. The main point to remember is that law and order are there only to maintain the status quo and hence political victims will be denied justice under one pretext or another.

* * *

Apart from it being right or wrong, during the earlier days of the cold war it was possible to align with one power or another and some protection could have been gained but since then imperialism, through various transformations has evolved into the present stage of globally linked Establishments when it is no longer possible to align with any power and receive protection.

It is a homely example that we ourselves have aligned with the West and India but let down by all of them. It is a self-defeating tragedy that those who expose these powers also drift towards making some appeals to the very same powers.

The only option available is the appeal to the Court of International Public Opinion and that means developing solidarity with the other Nations without State and the oppressed peoples who together constitute the 99%. We need them and they need us although such solidarity is new, not only to us but also to others in the 99%.

* * *

Some of those accepting Fourth World Solidarity as conceptually relevant seem to feel that it would take a very long time to produce results and therefore other options for shorter-term results should be considered and acted upon. Such feeling is basically due to the lack of balanced objective thinking.

When you think of the 'Long' term only, you get divorced from the ground reality. Equally when you think of the 'immediate' or even 'short' term only, you can be easily pushed around by the enemy.

The right balance is to act on the immediate within the framework of a long-term ideology. Equally the right balance is required between the local demand and the wider global perspective.

Any action or even thought without the 'space time context and balance' will certainly lead astray. It is worthwhile remembering Einstein's description of the Universe as a 'four dimensional space-time continuum' of 'cause and effect related' events.

It is again to be noted that the dimensions of space and time provide only a frame and are not determinants of change. The 'cause and effect related' implies that actions only lead to change. Knowledge and determination are only aids to action.

This Understanding is very relevant even to politics in this "globalised world". When time is not a determinant, long-term or short-term is irrelevant and only right action that will lead to the desired end is required.

* * *

Solidarity whether it is that of the Fourth World of nations without state or whether it is that of the oppressed peoples and nations without state is a consequential need of the oppressor's 'globalisation'.

Solidarity is simply mutual support for mutual benefit. The 1% has realized this long ago and all their actions whether it is in the Iraq War, Afghanistan War or Eelam War are based on this principle of solidarity among the 'globalised Establishments'.

So it is imperative that the 99% also develops solidarity among them. The globalised Establishments are all natural allies to one another of their kind. So all belonging to the 99% are also natural allies to one another among the 99%.

The author learnt of the concept of natural allies from George Armitage, who advanced the concept in formulating the alliance of the 1%.

It is never suggested anywhere that the 99% or the Fourth World or even the Eelam Nation solidifies itself as one entity before starting any struggle. Even if the 99% wants to, the 1% will not give that time. The Reality is that the 1% is oppressing 24 hours every day and the 99% needs to resist.

* * *

Before LTTE's resistance and after the end of LTTE's resistance as well as before the UNHRC resolution and after the UNHRC resolution, the GoSL is continuing with the genocide of Tamils with or without resistance.

It is unfortunate that sections of the diaspora do not want to even use the word genocide presumably at the behest of the ICG or its masters in the IC.

As Thirumurugan Gandhi of the May 17 movement in Tamil Nadu has recently stated that the visiting ICG representatives had spent 5 hours on him asking him not to raise the issues of genocide, war crimes and independence, but could not succeed, whereas certain international forces could convince our nominated politicians over a cup of coffee.

The genocide by the GoSL needs to be resisted and stopped and the homeland Tamils are resisting to the best of their ability. What the diaspora can do and should do is to develop solidarity among Tamils of the world, among the members of the Fourth World, and among the 99% in support of the resistance of the homeland Tamils, in whatever way they can.

It is not always 'long-term politics' to talk about Fourth World solidarity or even solidarity of the 99%.

* * *

Solidarity at any level could be developed for long term as well as short term and immediate issues.

Solidarity could be developed even around immediate issues like call for the withdrawal of the armed forces from the homeland or for stopping the structural genocide as well as around longer term and wider issues like right of self-determination of nations.

We should know with whom to call for solidarity around which issues. Obviously on the question of withdrawal of armed forces from the homeland, we cannot expect solidarity from the Establishments that have sent armed forces to Iraq or Afghanistan or from the Establishments that oppress Nations without State inside their arbitrary borders of countries under the cover of state-sovereignty, like India in Kashmir or China in Tibet or Russia in Chechnya.

Agent Imperialistic countries also will not support the right of self-determination of nations.

Thus we cannot expect any support from the former imperialist countries or the agent imperialist countries, which together constitute the Globalised Establishments and their club called the UN.

So what are the options if we accept the argument that solidarity with the Fourth World or solidarity

with the 99% will take a long time?

The only but unrealistic option is to appeal to the same forces that oppress us. We have seen earlier that Time like Space is not a determinant but Right Action is.

* * *

The Right Action is the one that leads to the desired end by development of solidarity with the Fourth World and Solidarity with the 99%. It should always be remembered that solidarity can and should be developed for immediate, short term and long-term actions and hence the reasoning that solidarity with the oppressed Nations and oppressed peoples takes a long time in all circumstances is a lame excuse.

Another lame excuse is based on the assumption that development based on solidarity with the Fourth World or with the 99% is an ideological position and that 'Pragmatism' requires us to be 'flexible on the ideological position' and 'compromise (with the enemy?) on issues' to achieve something for the suffering people here and now.

The Ideological position is the vision of the destination and while everyone is 'free' to have his or her own vision of the destination and nobody needs to compromise with that vision except based on experience.

But since nothing happens without collective action, united action fronts need to be established on specific issues with all those who are sincere about those specific issues. Use of words like pragmatism, flexible, compromise, incremental etc., arises from or means to cause confusion.

* * *

Also it is important to note that 'agreement on other issues' is not necessary for united action on specific issues. For example, if we are thinking of a United Action Front for withdrawal of the occupying armed forces from the country of Eezham Tamils, it does not matter whether the participants agree on right of self-determination of nations so long as they are sincere on the question of withdrawing the occupying armed forces from the Tamil homeland.

Further, we need not look at 'external' support with suspicion. When we are struggling for national liberation, peoples and organisations interested in socialism will support us because they know that it is not possible to achieve socialism without completing national liberation.

Even spiritualists, who are aware that social and economic liberation is a prerequisite for ultimate liberation, will support national liberation.

It is in our interest to mobilise all forces that are likely to benefit from the completion of national liberation. Sinhalese genuinely fighting for socialism just like spiritualist Desmond Tutu should be considered as our ally.

* * *

There are others (probably due to ignorance or enemy propaganda) think that 'solidarity' is a campaign word of the socialists and say that national liberation cannot wait till socialism is achieved.

Little do they realize it is socialism that has to wait for the completion of national liberation and that solidarity is the campaign word among all those who understand the imperative need of collective action to achieve anything. As stated before, the Enemy has understood the importance of collective action but the oppressed peoples and oppressed Nations without State are still learning.

There are those (who due to impatience or selfishness) say that "solidarity with our natural allies cannot be achieved in our life time".

The essence of cause-and-effect relationship (call it Karma to sound spiritual) is that we enjoy the fruits

of labour of our previous generations and the fruits of our labour will be enjoyed by our future generations. This applies to all scientific inventions and social changes. Nobody ever grumbled of not going to heaven in his lifetime. Are we not going to the temples and churches from childhood to death without seeing any result?

* * *

It is not the intention to paint a fairy tale about solidarity among the oppressed Nations without State or even among the 99% because solidarity depends on collective action and neither the Nations without State nor the 99% have understood the importance of collective action, although experience pushes them towards that.

It is to be noted that the oppressor is taking all possible pre-emptive measures against collective action. For example the individual agreement in the work place instead of trade union action is a subtle measure to deny collective action.

In spite of all the loud noises, the human rights groups are also concerned with only individual human rights but they never speak of collective human rights like the right of self-determination of nations. It is a pity that many of us confine our campaigns to the 'Internet' and are reluctant even to think of demonstrations and rallies. If not for temples and churches, many of us will not meet one another except at workplaces and even that is being denied by 'working from home'. It is not only desktop work but many goods are produced from homes. Even in sports, individual performances are given greater prominence. Honours are given to individuals and rarely to groups. It is a pity that even in communist parties, the leaders steal the credit.

So it is very important to understand the imperative need for collective action and solidarity is to facilitate that collective action. It might appear that we are very far from achieving these objectives. But as in Nature, it is a slow movement (and rarely seen) towards Social Change, till reaching the Critical Mass, and once the Critical Mass is reached, it is prairie fire.

* * *

It is important to realize that there is no other way in the cause-and-effect related events, and even any lobbying, applying pressure etc., with the enemy for temporary relief will be ignored if we stand alone. Hence we need to balance our action for immediate relief with our action for solidarity with our natural allies without giving lame excuses.

With the world economic crisis and the environmental crisis developing very fast, there is no other way other than for international public opinion to protect the international public. This is the overall way forward, but priorities will be determined by the developments of the ground reality.

India, being the Home of 1/3rd of the Nations without State in the world and also being the home of most antagonistic contradictions in the world, is likely to be the gateway to a New World.

So the priority for the Eezham Tamil nation is the alliance with the Tamil nation of Tamil Nadu, within the framework of the solidarity with Nations without State and the oppressed peoples.

Solidarity within smaller groups is not in conflict with solidarity within larger groups and vice versa so long as the objectives of solidarity are not in conflict. Equally solidarity for immediate objectives is not in conflict with solidarity for longer term objectives and vice versa so long as the objectives are not in conflict.

Withdrawal of the occupying armed forces from the Tamil homeland, stopping the genocide of Tamils, focus on Tamils sovereignty and the right of self-determination of nations, a new world order for the 99% are all complementary and not at all conflicting.

It is time to mobilise international public opinion for the removal of occupation forces out of the Tamil homeland.